PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Temperature dependence of topological susceptibility using gradient flow

Yusuke Taniguchi[*†]
Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
E-mail: tanigchi@het.ph.tsukuba.ac.jp

Shinji Ejiri

Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Kazuyuki Kanaya
Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering (CiRfSE), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

Masakiyo Kitazawa
Department of Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
J-PARC Branch, KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, 203-1, Shirakata, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1106, Japan

Hiroshi Suzuki

Department of Physics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Takashi Umeda
Graduate School of Education, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8524, Japan

Ryo Iwami and Naoki Wakabayashi

Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

We study temperature dependence of the topological susceptibility with the $N_f = 2+1$ flavors Wilson fermion. We have two major interests in this paper. One is a comparison of gluonic and fermionic definitions of the topological susceptibility. Two definitions are related by the chiral Ward-Takahashi identity but their coincidence is highly non-trivial for the Wilson fermion. By applying the gradient flow both for the gauge and quark fields we find a good agreement of these two measurements. The other is a verification of a prediction of the dilute instanton gas approximation at low temperature region $T_{pc} < T < 1.5T_{pc}$, for which we confirm the prediction that the topological susceptibility decays with power $\chi_t \propto (T/T_{pc})^{-8}$ for three flavors QCD.

34th annual âĂŸInternational Symposium on Lattice Field Theory
24-30 July 2016
University of Southampton, UK

[*]Speaker.
[†]Preprint numbers: UTCCS-P-94, UTHEP-698, KYUSHU-HET-173, J-PARC-TH-0073

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:1611.02413v1 [hep-lat] 8 Nov 2016

1. Introduction

The axion is introduced into QCD through the Peccei-Quinn mechanism to solve the strong CP problem [1]. The effective mass squared of the axion is proportional to the topological susceptibility and its temperature dependence plays a crucial role for the axion production in the early universe at high temperature and for a possibility of the axion to be a candidate of cold dark matter.

Recently the topological susceptibility is studied at finite temperature using lattice QCD for quenched case [2, 3, 4], for $N_f = 2+1$ flavours [5, 6] and for $N_f = 2+1+1$ flavors [7]. One of major interests of these papers is consistency with the dilute instanton gas approximation (DIGA) [8], which predicts a power law decay of the topological susceptibility $\chi_t \propto (T/T_{pc})^{-8}$ at high temperature for three flavors. The result of Ref. [5] is the decay is much more gentle than DIGA prediction. On the other hand Ref. [6] shows the power is consistent with that of DIGA above $1.5T_{pc}$ but it is a bit more moderate for low temperature region $T_{pc} < T < 1.5T_{pc}$. In this paper we focus on temperature dependence of the topological susceptibility at $T_{pc} < T < 1.5T_{pc}$ for $N_f = 2+1$ QCD. Our result is the power is consistent with that of DIGA even at low temperature region.

One of the best way to measure the topological susceptibility may be to use lattice QCD. However there are several definitions for the topological charge on lattice. The most popular one may be to adopt the gauge field strength $F\tilde{F}$ accompanied with a cooling step [9, 10, 11, 12]. In this paper we use the definition by adopting the gradient flow [13, 14, 15]. The gauge field is flowed with fictitious time t according to the equations [14]

$$\partial_t B_\mu(t,x) = D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}(t,x), \quad B_\mu(t=0,x) = A_\mu(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where the field strength $G_{\nu\mu}(t,x)$ and the covariant derivative D_ν are given in terms of the flowed gauge field. The topological charge density $q(t,x)$ defined by the flowed gauge field

$$q(t,x) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G^a_{\mu\nu}(t,x)G^a_{\rho\sigma}(t,x), \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = 1 \tag{1.2}$$

is already renormalized [14] and its normalization is consistent with the Ward-Takahashi (WT) identity associated with the flavor singlet chiral symmetry [16]. The topological susceptibility is given by

$$\chi_t = \frac{1}{V_4}\left(\langle Q^2\rangle - \langle Q\rangle^2\right) = \frac{1}{V_4}\langle Q^2\rangle, \quad Q(t) = \int d^4x\, q(t,x). \tag{1.3}$$

In the continuum QCD the topological susceptibility is related to the disconnected singlet pseudo-scalar two point function [17, 18] through the chiral WT identity

$$\left\langle \partial_\mu A^a_\mu(x)\mathscr{O}\right\rangle - 2m\left\langle \pi^a(x)\mathscr{O}\right\rangle + 2n_f\delta^{a0}\left\langle q(x)\mathscr{O}\right\rangle = i\left\langle \delta^a\mathscr{O}\right\rangle, \tag{1.4}$$

where $a = 0$ stands for the singlet and $a \geq 1$ for the non-singlet identity. $A^a_\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)T^a\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi(x)$, $\pi^a(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)T^a\gamma_5\psi(x)$ with $T^0 = 1$ and $\mathrm{tr}\left(T^aT^b\right) = \delta^{ab}$ for $a,b \geq 1$. n_f is a number of flavors with degenerate mass m, which is not same as number of sea quarks necessarily. We briefly explain the derivation in the following. We apply the integrated form of the singlet WT identify to $\mathscr{O} = Q$

and $\mathscr{O} = P^0$

$$-m\left\langle P^0 Q\right\rangle + n_f\left\langle Q^2\right\rangle = 0, \tag{1.5}$$
$$-m\left\langle P^0 P^0\right\rangle + n_f\left\langle Q P^0\right\rangle = -\left\langle S^0\right\rangle \tag{1.6}$$

and the non-singlet WT identity to non-singlet $\mathscr{O} = P^b$

$$-2m\left\langle P^a P^b\right\rangle = -\left(\delta^{ab}\frac{2}{n_f}\left\langle S^0\right\rangle + d_{abc}\left\langle S^c\right\rangle\right), \quad a,b,c \geq 1, \tag{1.7}$$

where $P^a = \int d^4x \pi^a(x)$ and $S^a = \int d^4x \overline{\psi}(x) T^a \psi(x)$. Making use of a fact that the non-singlet flavor symmetry is not broken we get

$$\left\langle Q^2\right\rangle = \frac{m^2}{n_f^2}\left(\left\langle P^0 P^0\right\rangle - n_f\left\langle P^a P^a\right\rangle\right), \tag{1.8}$$

where sum is not taken over a. The right hand side is nothing but the disconnected contribution to the singlet pseudo-scalar two point function.

The right hand side of (1.8) would have power divergence when calculated on lattice using the Wilson fermion since the chiral symmetry is broken explicitly. Much efforts were payed to avoid the difficulty [19, 20, 21]. In this paper we shall use a new method to get rid of the singularity. This is accomplished by applying the gradient flow to the quark fields [22]

$$\partial_t \chi_f(t,x) = \Delta\chi_f(t,x), \quad \chi_f(t=0,x) = \psi_f(x), \tag{1.9}$$
$$\partial_t \overline{\chi}_f(t,x) = \overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{\Delta}, \quad \overline{\chi}_f(t=0,x) = \overline{\psi}_f(x) \tag{1.10}$$

with

$$\Delta\chi_f(t,x) \equiv D_\mu D_\mu \chi_f(t,x), \quad D_\mu\chi_f(t,x) \equiv \left[\partial_\mu + B_\mu(t,x)\right]\chi_f(t,x), \tag{1.11}$$
$$\overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{\Delta} \equiv \overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{D}_\mu\overleftarrow{D}_\mu, \quad \overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{D}_\mu \equiv \overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\left[\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - B_\mu(t,x)\right], \tag{1.12}$$

where $f = u,\ d,\ s$, denotes the flavor index. It is probed that any operator constructed with the flowed quark field does not have any UV divergence when multiplied with a wave function renormalization factor of the quark field [22]. We adopt the wave function renormalization factor given by Ref. [23]

$$\varphi_f(t) \equiv \frac{-6}{(4\pi)^2 t^2\left\langle \overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftrightarrow{\not{D}}\chi_f(t,x)\right\rangle_0}, \quad \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \equiv D_\mu - \overleftarrow{D}_\mu, \tag{1.13}$$

where expectation value is taken at zero temperature. In the end we need to convert the renormalized operator $\varphi_f(t)\overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\gamma_5\chi_f(t,x)$ to the pseudo-scalar density which is consistent with the chiral WT identity. This is accomplished perturbatively according to the strategy of Ref. [24] based on a small flow time expansion [25]

$$m_R\left(\overline{\psi}_f\gamma_5\psi_f\right)_R = \lim_{t\to 0}\ c_S(t)\bar{m}_{\overline{\rm MS}}(1/\sqrt{8t})\varphi_f(t)\overline{\chi}_f(t,x)\gamma_5\chi_f(t,x), \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$c_S(t) = 1 + \frac{\bar{g}^2_{\overline{\rm MS}}(1/\sqrt{8t})^2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[4(\gamma - 2\ln 2) + 8 + \frac{4}{3}\ln(432)\right] \tag{1.15}$$

is the matching coefficient evaluated in $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme. $\bar{g}_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ and $\bar{m}_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ are the running coupling and mass in $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme at renormalization scale $\mu = 1/\sqrt{8t}$. Notice that the scheme dependence is canceled out and the left hand side of (1.14) is scheme and scale independent.

2. Simulation parameters

Measurements are performed on $N_f = 2+1$ gauge configurations generated for Refs. [26, 27], which are open to the public on ILDG/JLDG. A non-perturbatively $O(a)$ improved Wilson quark action and the renormalization-group improved Iwasaki gauge action are adopted. The bare coupling constant is set to $\beta = 2.05$, which corresponds to $a = 0.0701(29)\,\mathrm{fm}$ ($1/a \simeq 2.79\,\mathrm{GeV}$). The hopping parameters are set to $\kappa_u = \kappa_d \equiv \kappa_{ud} = 0.1356$ and $\kappa_s = 0.1351$, which correspond to heavy up and down quarks, $m_\pi/m_\rho \simeq 0.63$, and almost physical strange quark, $m_{\eta_{ss}}/m_\phi \simeq 0.74$. The bare PCAC quark masses are $a m_{ud} = 0.02105(17)$ and $a m_s = 0.03524(26)$.

In this study, we adopt the fixed-scale approach [28] in which the temperature $T = 1/(aN_t)$ is varied by changing the temporal lattice size N_t with a fixed lattice spacing a. We adopt $4 \leq N_t \leq 16$ which correspond to $174 \lesssim T \lesssim 697$ (MeV). See Ref. [29] for temperature and number of configurations at each N_t. Spatial box size is 32^3 for finite temperature and 28^3 for zero temperature.

To evaluate fermionic observables we use the noisy estimator method. The number of noise vectors is 20 for each color. We adopt the third order Runge-Kutta method [14, 22] with the step size of $\varepsilon = 0.02$ to solve the flow equation for both the gauge and quark fields.

For a study of the auto-correlation we perform the bin size analysis of the jackknife error. We find that bin size of 300 in Monte Carlo time is enough for the statistical error to saturate. For the quadratic terms of the field strength tensor $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$ we adopt a combination of the clover operator with four plaquette Wilson loops and that with four 1×2 rectangle Wilson loops such that the tree-level improved field strength squared is obtained [30]. The fermionic definition is applied to $n_f = 2$ flavors *ud* quark sub-system, which has degenerate mass.

3. Numerical results

In Fig. 1 we plot a distribution of the topological charge by the gluonic definition (1.2) for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ at flow time $t/a^2 = 0.02$ (left panel) and 4.5 (middle). We can see dense and wide distribution in the left panel are accumulated on integer values in the middle panel as we flow the gauge field with a large enough time. We stop the flow before we reach the over-smeared region $t_{1/2} \equiv \frac{1}{8}\left[\min\left(\frac{N_t}{2}, \frac{N_s}{2}\right)\right]^2$, where the typical smearing range $\sqrt{8t}$ of the gradient flow covers smaller side of the lattice box. The topological charges are well distributed on non-zero values for $0 \lesssim T/T_{pc} \lesssim 1.47$ but the fluctuation becomes rare for high temperature region $T/T_{pc} \gtrsim 2.44$ as is shown in the right panel.



Figure 1: Distribution of the topological charge for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ at flow time $t/a^2 = 0.02$ (left panel), 4.5 (middle panel) and for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 2.44$ at $t/a^2 = 1.125$ (right panel). Dotted vertical lines indicate integers.

Fig. 2 is the topological susceptibility as a function of the flow time for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ (left panel) and $T/T_{pc} \simeq 2.44$ (right), which is defined by the gluonic operator (1.2). The susceptibility is completely flat for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ (left panel) at large flow time. This is consistent with the flow time invariant property of the topological charge in the continuum limit [16]. The good property is observed for $T/T_{pc} \lesssim 1.47$ and we adopt the value at $t_{1/2}$ as our result. On the other hand the topological susceptibility does not have a plateau even above $t_{1/2}$ for $T/T_{pc} \gtrsim 1.83$ as is shown in the right panel for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 2.44$. This is supposed to be mainly due to the lattice artifact $aT = 1/N_t$, which becomes severe at high temperature. We give up to study the high temperature region $T/T_{pc} \gtrsim 1.83$ with the gluonic definition in this paper.



Figure 2: Topological susceptibility as a function of the flow time t/a^2 for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ (left panel) and $T/T_{pc} \simeq 2.44$ (right panel). Dotted vertical line in the right panel indicates $t_{1/2}$.

We consider the fermionic definition of the topological susceptibility using (1.8) with the gradient flow renormalization (1.14). In this procedure we need to take two limits in a proper order; the continuum limit first and $t \to 0$ limit later. The continuum limit is usually taken by an extrapolation from more than three lattice spacings around $a \sim 1$ fm. It should be argued whether enough information is given at such lattice spacings for taking $t \to 0$ limit. For this purpose we consider the lattice spacing and the flow time dependence of the topological susceptibility χ_t

$$\chi_t(t,a) = \chi_t + A\frac{a^2}{t} + tS + \sum_f B_f(am_f)^2 + C(aT)^2 + D(a\Lambda_{\rm QCD})^2 + a^2S' + O(a^4,t^2), \quad (3.1)$$

where A, B, C, D are contributions from four dimensional operators and S, S' are those from dimension six operators. The second term a^2/t is the reason why we need to keep the proper order of the limit. However this term becomes negligibly small at large t/a^2. On the other hand $O(t^2)$ term becomes dominant at such a flow time. Our conclusion is that we can exchange the order of the limit and take $t \to 0$ limit first if there is a window region where both effects are negligible and the data behaves linearly in t/a^2 . The term tS is the reason why we need to take $t \to 0$ limit.

In Fig. 3 we plot $\chi_t(t,a)$ as a function of the flow time for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ (left panel) and 2.44 (middle). The non-linear behavior near the origin may be due to the lattice artifact a^2/t and that at large flow time may be $O(t^2)$ contribution. We find a rigid window for $T/T_{pc} \lesssim 1.83$ indicated by the vertical lines, which is set to be common for a calculation of the chiral condensate and susceptibility in Ref. [29]. The $t \to 0$ limit is taken by a linear fit in the window. We notice the window should be well below the over smeared region $t_{1/2}$. Unfortunately the window is obscure for $T/T_{pc} \gtrsim 2.44$ mainly due to small N_t and we could not get valid result at high temperature.



Figure 3: Topological susceptibility as a function of the flow time t/a^2 for $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$ (left panel), 2.44 (middle panel). The right panel is the topological susceptibility in a unit of $(\mathrm{GeV})^4$ as a function of temperature, where gluonic and fermionic definitions are compared with DIGA result. Red and black lines are fit of gluonic and fermionic results above T_{pc}. Dotted blue line is a prediction of DIGA.

The right panel of Fig. 3 is our result, where the gluonic and fermionic definition of the topological susceptibility are plotted as a function of temperature. The results from both the definitions are consistent with each other below $T/T_{pc} \lesssim 1.47$. We fit the data at $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.05, 1.22, 1.47$ with a power of $(T/T_{pc})^{\gamma}$. We have $\gamma = -7.2(0.9)$ for the gluonic and $\gamma = -7.3(1.7)$ for the fermionic definition. These exponents are consistent with the prediction $\gamma = 8$ of DIGA in the high temperature limit within statistical error. Result of DIGA is also plotted by dotted blue line, where we adopted the same bare quark mass and $T_{pc} \sim 190$ MeV as our simulation for the input. Although the exponent is consistent, our numerical result is 1.8 times larger at $T/T_{pc} \simeq 1.22$.

4. Conclusions and discussions

We study temperature dependence of the topological susceptibility from two interests. One is to compare two independent measurements of the susceptibility on lattice with Wilson fermion. We calculate the topological susceptibility adopting the gluonic (1.2) and fermionic (1.8) definitions, for which we apply the gradient flow. Although the gradient flow is used as a renormalization for both definitions the procedure to extract the topological susceptibility is different. The independent results for two definitions agree perfectly well for $T/T_{pc} \lesssim 1.47$.

The other is a test of the dilute instanton gas approximation prediction at low temperature region $T_{pc} \lesssim T \lesssim 1.5T_{pc}$. By fitting the lowest three data above T_{pc} with a power law $\chi_t \propto (T/T_{pc})^{\gamma}$ the exponent is consistent with the DIAG prediction for both the definitions. The absolute value is about two times larger than the DIGA result. In this paper we adopt a rather heavy *ud* quark mass with $m_\pi/m_\rho \simeq 0.63$. In our future work we shall make use of *ud* quark mass at the physical point and shall discuss the axion abundance in a realistic manner.

Acknowledgments

This work is in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 25800148, No. 26287040, No. 26400244, No. 26400251, No. 15K05041, and No. 16H03982, by the Large Scale Simulation Program of High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK) No. 14/15-23, 15/16-T06, 15/16-

T-07, and 15/16-25, and by Interdisciplinary Computational Science Program in CCS, University of Tsukuba. This work is in part based on Lattice QCD common code Bridge++ [31].

References

[1] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977).

[2] E. Berkowitz, M. I. Buchoff and E. Rinaldi, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 3, 034507 (2015).

[3] S. Borsanyi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **752**, 175 (2016).

[4] R. Kitano and N. Yamada, JHEP **1510**, 136 (2015).

[5] C. Bonati, M. D'Elia, M. Mariti, G. Martinelli, M. Mesiti, F. Negro, F. Sanfilippo and G. Villadoro, JHEP **1603**, 155 (2016).

[6] P. Petreczky, H. P. Schadler and S. Sharma, Phys. Lett. B **762**, 498 (2016).

[7] S. Borsanyi *et al.*, arXiv:1606.07494 [hep-lat].

[8] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski and L. G. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 43 (1981).

[9] M. Garcia Perez, O. Philipsen and I. O. Stamatescu, Nucl. Phys. B **551**, 293 (1999).

[10] C. Bonati and M. D'Elia, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 10, 105005 (2014).

[11] Y. Namekawa, PoS LATTICE **2014**, 344 (2015).

[12] C. Alexandrou, A. Athenodorou and K. Jansen, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 12, 125014 (2015).

[13] M. Lüscher, Commun. Math. Phys. **293**, 899 (2010).

[14] M. Lüscher, JHEP **1008**, 071 (2010); Erratum: [JHEP **1403**, 092 (2014)].

[15] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, JHEP **0603**, 064 (2006).

[16] M. Cé, C. Consonni, G. P. Engel and L. Giusti, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 074502 (2015).

[17] M. Bochicchio, G. C. Rossi, M. Testa and K. Yoshida, Phys. Lett. B **149**, 487 (1984).

[18] L. Giusti, G. C. Rossi and M. Testa, Phys. Lett. B **587**, 157 (2004).

[19] M. Luscher, Phys. Lett. B **593**, 296 (2004).

[20] L. Giusti and M. Lüscher, JHEP **0903**, 013 (2009)

[21] M. Luscher and F. Palombi, JHEP **1009**, 110 (2010).

[22] M. Lüscher, JHEP **1304**, 123 (2013).

[23] H. Makino and H. Suzuki, PTEP **2014**, 063B02 (2014) Erratum: [PTEP **2015**, 079202 (2015)].

[24] K. Hieda and H. Suzuki, arXiv:1606.04193 [hep-lat].

[25] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, JHEP **1102**, 051 (2011).

[26] T. Ishikawa *et al.* [CP-PACS and JLQCD Collaborations], Phys. Rev. D **78**, 011502(R) (2008).

[27] T. Umeda *et al.* [WHOT-QCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **85**, 094508 (2012).

[28] T. Umeda, S. Ejiri, S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, K. Kanaya, Y. Maezawa and H. Ohno, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 051501 (2009).

[29] Y. Taniguchi, S. Ejiri, R. Iwami, K. Kanaya, M. Kitazawa, H. Suzuki, T. Umeda and N. Wakabayashi, arXiv:1609.01417 [hep-lat].

[30] A. Ali Khan *et al.* [CP-PACS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **64**, 114501 (2001).

[31] `http://bridge.kek.jp/Lattice-code/index_e.html`